IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re Ricoh Company Ltd. Patent Litigation

NO. C 03-02289 JW

ORDER VACATING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; SETTING HEARING ON MOTION FOR FEES

This case is scheduled for a Case Management Conference on February 27, 2012. Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of this Court, the parties conferred and duly submitted a Joint Case Management Statement and Proposed Order. (See Docket Item No. 779.) In their Joint Statement, the parties disagree as to whether additional discovery is necessary regarding the issue of attorney fees. (Id. at 13.) Defendant contends that additional discovery should occur prior to the filing of additional motions and that the issue of fees should not be reconsidered until August, 2012. (Id.) Plaintiff contends that all remaining issues can be resolved on the briefs and that additional discovery is unnecessary. (Id.)

Upon review, the Court finds that it can set a briefing schedule to resolve the issue on remand without the necessity of an appearance at this time. Accordingly, the Court VACATES the Case Management Conference and orders as follows:

¹ This case is before the Court on remand from the Federal Circuit solely on the issue of the proper amount of attorney fees to be awarded. (See Docket Item No. 774.)

(1)	The Court finds no reason to require the production of additional documents prior to
	the filing of briefs on the issue of fees. In particular, the Court finds that the
	documentation provided with Plaintiff's opening brief on the issue of fees should be
	sufficient to allow Defendant to respond to Plaintiff's motion.

Because further production of documents in support of the application for fees is (2) unnecessary, the Court finds no reason to delay consideration of Plaintiff's fee motion until August. Thus, the Court sets April 23, 2012 at 9 a.m. for a hearing on Plaintiff's anticipated motion for fees. The parties shall notice and brief this motion in accordance with the Local Rules.

Dated: February 22, 2012

United States District Chief Judge

James Whale

28

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO: 1 2 Brian A. E. Smith baesmith@dl.com Caroline McIntyre cmcintyre@be-law.com 3 Cathy Chen chenc@dicksteinshapiro.com Daniel J. Bergeson dbergeson@be-law.com DeAnna Dahlyce Allen allend@dsmo.com 4 Denise M. De Mory ddemory@dl.com 5 Edward A. Meilman Meilman E@dicksteinshapiro.com Eric Oliver OLIVERE@DSMO.COM Erik Keith Moller invalidaddress@myrealbox.com 6 Ethan B. Andelman ethan.andelman@nxp.com 7 Gary M. Hoffman Hoffman G@dicksteinshapiro.com Henry C. Su hsu@ftc.gov 8 Hway-Ling Hsu hhsu@be-law.com Jaclyn C. Fink finkj@howrey.com Julie M. Holloway Julie.Holloway@LW.com 9 Kenneth W. Brothers Brothers K@dicksteinshapiro.com 10 Krista Marie Carter carterk@dicksteinshapiro.com Matthew Greinert mgreinert@dl.com Matthew E. Hocker hockerm@howrey.com 11 Melinda Mae Morton mmorton@be-law.com 12 Richard Gregory Frenkel rick.frenkel@lw.com Ron Eleazer Shulman ron.shulman@lw.com Teresa M Corbin tcorbin@fenwick.com 13 Terrence J.P. Kearney terry.kearney@lw.com 14 15 Dated: February 22, 2012 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk 16 /s/ JW Chambers 17 Susan Imbriani **Courtroom Deputy** 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27